

Fractl Theatre™: A Modular Dramaturgical System

Author Edgar Chisholm

Version 2.0, October 2026

Status Public statement of the method. Supersedes the 2025 edition.

Abstract

What if a single play could be a tragedy on Monday and a love story on Friday, without changing a word?

Fractl Theatre™ treats a dramatic work not as a fixed sequence but as a governed system of parts: self-contained plays called modules, connected by evolving symbols called Recursive Nodes, and arranged into authored configurations. Arrange the same modules one way and the audience experiences a tragedy. Reverse them and the same words become a prophecy. Perform one alone and it is an intimate study, complete in itself. The text does not change. The arrangement is the meaning.

This paper states the method as it now stands in the author's handbook, *The Craft of Fractl Theatre™: An Operating Manual for Modular Dramatic Authorship* (Second Edition, 2026). It is written for playwrights, directors, producers, scholars and licensing and legal readers. It states plainly what the method claims, what it does not, and what remains a hypothesis.

1. What Fractl Theatre™ is, and is not

A Fractl work holds its modules in relation through shared Recursive Nodes, and each authorized arrangement of them produces a different work. The method rests on five commitments held at the same time:

1. Every module survives alone.
2. Every recurring symbol evolves with order.
3. The work's core pattern reads at every scale.
4. Every arrangement is an authored argument, with a name and a resulting form.
5. All of it is documented, so that a producer, a director or a licensee can act on the system without guessing.

None of the five is individually new. The contribution is the combination, and the discipline of writing it all down. The boundaries are as important as the definition. Fractl Theatre™ is:

- **Not devised theatre.** The playwright authors the structure. Collaborators interpret within it.
- **Not audience-choice branching.** No one votes mid-performance. Configurations are chosen before the audience arrives. Even in installations, what is authored is the space of walkable paths.
- **Not random or generative.** There is no shuffle-play and no algorithmic remix. Every valid arrangement is designed and defended.
- **Not fragmentary collage.** Modules are complete dramas, not shards that need outside context.
- **Not episodic serial.** The episodes of a serial must run in order. Fractl modules are designed for multiple valid orderings, where changing the sequence changes meaning and not only chronology.

One boundary lies inside the method itself: structural soundness is not dramatic quality. A canon can satisfy every rule in this paper and still be dull. The method therefore pairs its structural audits with a separate dramatic vitality audit (Section 6), and when the two disagree, the vitality finding comes first.

2. The vocabulary

Module

A module is a self-contained dramatic unit: a complete short play with its own exposition, conflict, climax and resolution. Precisely, it has four properties.

- **Causal independence.** No other module is needed to understand what happens in this one.
- **Dramatic closure.** The local conflict reaches a genuine resolution, and the audience can leave satisfied.
- **Semantic permeability.** Modules seen earlier may transform how this one's symbols are read. That is the point of the system.
- **Canonical surplus.** The module means more inside a configuration than alone, without being incomplete alone.

Independence governs plot. Permeability governs meaning. A module that fails independence is a fragment. A module that fails permeability is an island: sound, but inert in the system.

Recursive Node

A Recursive Node is a symbol, gesture, phrase, object or dramatic situation that appears across modules and is transformed by the appearances the audience has already seen. This is the Iterative Feedback Loop. A node is different from a *code*: a code, such as a cloth that marks one soul's lineage, identifies and may repeat unchanged. A node must evolve. A recurring symbol whose meaning never changes is a leitmotif: pleasant, inert, and damaging to the system if mistaken for a node, because it makes every arrangement feel alike.

Configuration, canon and DNA

A **configuration** is an arrangement of modules for performance or release, carrying a title, a resulting form and a runtime. The **canon** is the whole system: all modules, the Node Registry and the governance rules. The **DNA** is the work's scale-invariant core, its signature conflicts, recurring symbols and philosophical inquiries, stated in one declarative sentence.

The validity ladder

Three words settle what "valid" means.

Level	Meaning
Possible	Mechanically performable. Any ordering of any subset is possible. Ten modules yield 3,628,800 possible full orderings before subsets are counted.
Admissible	Satisfies the canon's structural constraints: required openers and closers, bound pairs, partial-order rules, forbidden adjacencies.
Authorized	Named by the author as a configuration of the work, or covered by a pre-authorized configuration family. Only authorized configurations are canonical, and all of them are equally canonical.

Every sequence in the world is possible. The constraint sheet decides what is admissible. The author decides what is authorized. A producer can check admissibility alone, which is what makes the system practical at scale.

3. The six structural principles

1. **Modular Integrity.** Each module is performable alone, to an audience that knows nothing else, and ends resolved. A cliffhanger is a dependency.
2. **Recursive Meaning.** Modules connect through nodes whose meaning is demonstrably order-sensitive. The method supplies a test (Section 6).
3. **Configurational Validity.** All authorized configurations are equally canonical expressions of the work. None is the "real" version.
4. **Authorial Governance.** The author creates and governs the configuration space: the constraints, the named configurations and the pre-authorized families. Producers and directors may instantiate a realization only within an authorized family. They never create the space itself.
5. **Scale-Invariant Meaning.** The DNA stays legible at every authorized scale, from a single module to the full canon. This is the fractal property that names the method.
6. **Adaptational Invariance.** The stated form of Medium Independence, explained in Section 9.

4. The calculus of sequence

A Fractl work is a collection of *states*: modules that exist at the same time in narrative space. The text within each state is fixed. The sequence of activation determines the resonance. Four operations are foundational.

- **Ordering**: arranging selected modules in a sequence.
- **Reversal**: running a sequence backward. A fall becomes a rise because the audience's knowledge accumulates in the opposite direction.
- **Extraction**: performing a single module as a complete standalone work.
- **Omission**: selecting a subset. What a configuration leaves out shapes it as much as what it orders.

Further operations, including repetition, nesting, interleaving, simultaneity and conditional availability, are forbidden by default. A canon may authorize them explicitly and must state their constraints.

The canonical worked example uses three modules: A, The Ancestral War; B, The Modern Betrayal; and C, The Purgatorial Reset. They share three nodes: a red cloth, a vow, and a gesture of the hand.

Sequence	Configuration	Resulting meaning
A → B → C	The Tragedy	The soul fights ancestral battles, fails in the modern world and is reset to suffer the cycle again.
C → B → A	The Prophecy	The soul begins at the reset, survives modern betrayal and realizes its mythic power in the ancestral war.
B alone	Intimate Betrayal Study	A single 30-minute exploration of contemporary moral failure.
A + C (omit B)	Mythic Cycle	Ancient war and cosmic reset without the modern context. Timelessness over historical specificity.

Reordering changes meaning when no word changes because drama plays in the audience's head, and the head is assembled in order. For every configuration, the author traces what the audience knows at each point. Every configuration is held to the argument standard: it carries a name, a resulting form, a thematic emphasis and a reason. Configurations must differ in kind, not only in emphasis. If two produce essentially the same experience, one is cut.

5. Governing a canon: constraints, not lists

Ten modules have 3,628,800 full orderings, and no author can test that space one sequence at a time. The solution is the one every mature rule system uses: govern with constraints, not lists. The constraint vocabulary is small.

- **Required opener or closer**, for example "Return opens every multi-module realization."

- **Bound pairs:** module Y may appear only immediately after module X.
- **Partial-order rules:** A before C wherever both appear, with the order of the rest left free.
- **Forbidden adjacency:** never B immediately after D.
- **Required inclusion and mutual exclusion:** F requires E; G and H never share an evening.
- **Node prerequisites and runtime windows:** an authorized evening runs 90 to 180 minutes including transitions.

Authorization then works at two levels. **Named configurations** are the flagship arrangements, each with a title, a resulting form and an argument. **Configuration families** cover the rest: for example, any admissible sequence of five or more modules that opens with the first module and includes the second is pre-authorized, under stated production conditions. The author tests the family's boundary cases, not every member. **Rejected configurations** are recorded with reasons, because the rejections define the edges of the system as much as the authorizations define its center.

The arithmetic is reproducible. Ten modules give 3,628,800 orderings. Fix the opener and 362,880 remain. Fix the closer and 40,320 remain. Two bound pairs, each welding two modules into one unit, leave 720. Three partial-order rules cut that by roughly a factor of two each, to on the order of 90 admissible full sequences, a number a person can read as a list. A producer with the constraint sheet can check a proposed sequence in ten minutes, without telephoning the playwright.

6. Proving a node evolves, and checking the play is alive

The Order-Sensitive Node Matrix

A hostile reader is entitled to ask how anyone shows that a symbol's meaning changed, rather than merely claiming it accumulated resonance. The method's answer is a matrix, one per node and one row per appearance, whose cells must each contain a *transformation verb* applied to another appearance: consecrates, contaminates, reverses, ironizes, fulfills, contradicts, releases. A node appearance is valid only if it changes the audience's interpretation of at least one earlier or later appearance. A row that can only be filled with synonyms of "again" is a leitmotif row.

Appearance	Local meaning	What it does to the others
Red cloth in A (garment)	Royal power; the body as office	Contaminated by B (power seen as bandage-in-waiting); reversed by C (power as the residue of a wound)
Red cloth in B (bandage)	Injury; care under duress	Contaminates A; ironizes C (sacrifice foretold)
Red cloth in C (banner)	Defiance; the wound made public	Fulfills A (the office returned to the crowd); releases B

A condensed matrix for the three-module example. The full form compares every ordered pair.

A completed matrix demonstrates a *designed* transformation claim. Whether audiences experience those transformations is an empirical question, answered by rehearsal and audience protocols rather than by the matrix.

The dramatic vitality audit

The structural audits test the system. A second audit tests the plays, with seven questions asked of every module and every configuration, each answered with a cited moment from the text: whether every principal character has agency; whether the climax comes from a choice rather than the arrival of information; whether something irreversible happens; whether reordering changes the audience's judgment of the people and not only which symbol they notice; whether secondary characters are people and not node-delivery mechanisms; whether each module and configuration ends on a human being; and whether each module has its own tonal identity. Structure can be repaired around life. Life cannot be installed in a dead module by governance.

7. Case study: Wheel of Life

Wheel of Life follows a recurring soul across about 3,200 years and six civilizations, bound to the other lives by a wager about the human heart. It is a Fractl work of twelve modules in two kinds.

Six historical episodes

Module	Title	Setting	Running time
2	Fifty Men	Lake Nnalubaale, about 3,200 years ago	40 minutes
4	The Blood Prince	Francia, 1103	40 minutes
6	Red Plum Blossoms	The Hungarian frontier, 1242	35 minutes
8	Opportunity	Japan, 1793	30 minutes
10	Miss Eva's Saloon	Ohio, 1915	45 minutes
12	The Globo-Visão Queen	Rio de Janeiro, the present	25 minutes

Six envelope modules

Between the lives lies a purgatorial mountainside, the Liminal Envelope, where the soul is met and tested. It is told in six shorter modules: Return (1), Grief (3), Anger (5), Rebellion (7), Choice (9) and Turn (11). The envelope is not freely reorderable. It carries the frame story's cumulative arc, and so it is governed by constraints:

- Return opens every multi-module realization.
- Grief is bound to Africa, and Anger is bound to Francia. Each appears only immediately after its bound module.

- Rebellion precedes Choice precedes Turn wherever they appear.
- An envelope module is not performed alone, with one exception: the six envelope modules played forward in order form a short play of their own, *Name*.

The nodes, and one order-sensitive line

The nodes include a crossed-fingers gesture that recurs in all six episodes; red and blue cloths that mark the two lineages; honey; an ancient song; a recognition that comes through gesture and not memory; and, in the envelope, accumulating objects such as a necklace of beads and a wall of names. The line "Long spears are... flawed" shows order-sensitivity in a single sentence. Perform Japan first and the line, when it returns in the envelope, is an echo. Perform the envelope's Choice before Japan, and the same line is a prophecy. The words do not change. Their direction of resonance does. Both orders are authorized.

The DNA of the work is one sentence: souls fight systemic oppression; love transcends individual lifetimes; resistance requires sacrifice; recognition happens through gesture, not memory. It must read in a single thirty-minute module and across a two-act evening of all twelve.

The authorized configurations

Configuration	Title	Form
I	The Ascending Wheel (all twelve modules, in order)	Epic of earned hope
II	The Sisyphean Wheel (reverse chronology; Turn deliberately omitted)	Sisyphean tragedy
III	The Long Recognition (the love-thread realization; Francia and Anger omitted)	Mythic love story
IV	Seven short plays: each of the six episodes alone, and <i>Name</i>	Single-module realizations

Two further arrangements were tested and **rejected**, with the reasons recorded. One defers the first lifetime to the end: every recognition fires on a symbol the audience has not yet been given, the origin becomes a twist, and a pattern turns into a puzzle. The other keeps the Guardian's peace at the end of an evening built to argue that the wheel grinds hope: it breaks none of the envelope rules and is dramaturgically dead. That second case is the reason authorization cannot be reduced to rules.

Any order built on a study page is a starting point for a conversation. The author authorizes the exact modules, order, medium and use only in a written agreement.

8. One canon, many productions

The licensing architecture follows the system's structure.

- **Single Module License:** one module at a reduced fee, for festivals, universities and anthology slots.

- **Configuration License:** one named arrangement, with the scripts for its modules, the authorized sequence, transition guidelines and rights to that order only.
- **Full Canon License:** all modules with sequence flexibility inside the constraint sheet, including rotating configurations across a run.
- **Educational Package:** designated modules at student rates.
- **Digital and streaming rights:** release order and playlist permissions as stated in the governance notes.

The commercial case is stated here as three *hypotheses*, not facts. First, a theatre that licensed three modules as one titled production can return in a later season with three different modules and mount a genuinely different production from the same canon. Second, rotating configurations may give audiences a reason to attend twice. Third, modular licensing may open venues, such as festivals, schools and anthologies, that a full-length linear play cannot enter.

None of this is free. Multiple running orders multiply rehearsal time and stage-management complexity. Marketing a form audiences do not yet know costs money. A second configuration may cannibalize the first's audience instead of expanding it. "No rewriting" has never meant "no redevelopment": every added configuration costs rehearsal, redesign and marketing. The demonstrated saving lies in development reuse across seasons, not in automatic same-season upside.

9. Across media: Adaptational Invariance

Earlier statements called the work "medium independent" and then described converting nodes, resizing modules and rewriting delivery, which is not independence. The precise claim has three layers.

Layer	What it holds	Rule
Invariant	The DNA, module identities and arcs, configuration arguments, governance logic.	Must survive untouched.
Mapped	Every node, translated to a medium-native equivalent that performs the same transformation.	A gesture becomes a sonic figure; the evolution chain survives.
Variable	Dialogue detail, duration, staging, framing, sound design, delivery mechanics.	Expected to change freely.

A cross-media version is the same Fractl work only if the invariant layer survives and every mapped node preserves its transformation function. That claim is testable. Each medium has its own working parameters.

Medium	Module band	How a configuration is delivered
Live theatre	15 to 55 minutes; 3 to 5 modules make a 90 to 180 minute evening	Curtain order: one-acts, curated evenings, full-canon marathons, rotating repertory
Film	20 to 40 minutes as written segments; 6 to 9 modules	Each authorized cut is a configuration: a theatrical cut, an extended cut, an anthology
Audio drama	15 to 40 minutes; 8 to 12 episodes	Release order is the flagship; published playlists are alternates the author authorizes
Micro digital	1 to 5 minutes; 8 to 15 modules	Authorized playlists and the drop schedule
Installation	5 to 20 minutes, looping; 3 to 7 modules	The author authorizes the space of paths; named routes are configurations

A node that the audience cannot perceive in a medium does not exist there. A gesture that has no close-up is not a node on film. A gesture cannot be heard, and so it becomes a sonic or linguistic twin on audio.

10. Precedents and the Fractl difference

Modular and multi-version drama did not begin here, and a method that cannot name its neighbors does not deserve a reader's trust. Alan Ayckbourn's *Intimate Exchanges* builds sixteen performance versions from a tree of choices, and his *House & Garden* runs two plays at once with one cast. Caryl Churchill publishes ordering rules with *Love and Information*, which is constraint-based governance in print. John Krizanc's *Tamara* and Punchdrunk's *Sleep No More* send audiences along their own paths through an authored space. In literature, Raymond Queneau, Marc Saporta, B. S. Johnson and Julio Cortázar authored combinable or reorderable books, including a required opener and closer and two named reading orders.

Fractl Theatre™ claims none of these ideas individually. It claims the specific combination: standalone modular integrity, order-sensitive Recursive Nodes with a falsifiable test, scale-invariant DNA, named and argued configurations including rejected ones, and governance documentation a production can use without the author's telephone number.

11. Authorship, marks and use

This section states general information, not legal advice.

Copyright protects expression, not method. It protects this paper's prose, the author's plays and their dialogue and specific realized motifs. It does not prevent another playwright from applying modular dramaturgy, evolving symbols or configuration governance to their own work. A playwright who writes a modular, node-driven, configuration-governed play using this method owns that play entirely, may license it on any terms, and owes no permission or fee to the author of this paper.

Fractl Theatre™ and Stories That Tell Stories™ are trademarks of Edgar Chisholm. They identify works, teaching and materials that come from the method's author. Trademarks protect these names as source identifiers. They do not create ownership of any dramaturgical process. Use of the marks in titles and marketing, and any certification or catalogue inclusion, is governed separately. Unbranded use of the method is always free, and a critic, scholar or program note may name the method in describing a work.

Publication of the method creates a public record of who articulated it and when. That record matters for attribution and reputation. It does not, by itself, give exclusive rights over the method.

12. What is claimed, and what is not

Three claims run through the method, and each has a different standing. The **craft claim** is that writing in whole, self-contained modules is a discipline that makes writers better. The **structural claim** is that when self-contained modules share symbols that evolve with order, different arrangements produce genuinely different works. It comes with tests: the node matrix and rehearsal and audience protocols. The **commercial claim** is a set of hypotheses, stated in Section 8.

Genuinely external evidence does not yet exist: another playwright's canon, an outside dramaturg's audit, or audience results from paired configurations. The handbook describes what such evidence would look like, and this paper claims nothing beyond what the author's own work can show.

Further reading and contact

- *The Craft of Fractl Theatre™: An Operating Manual for Modular Dramatic Authorship*, Edgar Chisholm, Second Edition, 2026.
- Fractl Theatre™ and *Wheel of Life*: fractltheatre.com and edgarchisholm.com.
- The Fractl Shuffle, a live demonstration of a governed shuffle: fractltheatre.com/shuffle.
- Licensing and production inquiries: info@edgarchisholm.com, or edgarchisholm.com/licensing.

© 2026 Edgar Chisholm. Fractl Theatre™ and Stories That Tell Stories™ are trademarks of Edgar Chisholm. All rights reserved in the expressive content of this paper.